IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, In and for the County of Cochise

JUDGE: HONORABLE JAMES L CONLOGUE,

DIVISION: FIVE

VS

COURT REPORTER: AARON SCHLESINGER

INTERPRETER: None

AMY J. HUNLEY, Clerk of the Superior Court

by: MICHELLE GARCIA (1/25/2019 4 39 11 PM), Deputy Clerk

HEARING DATE: 01/24/2019

STATE OF ARIZONA

Plaintiff.

CASE NO: S0200CR201800079

MINUTE ENTRY: JURY TRIAL DAY THREE

JAMES EDWARD EARLEY, II,

HEARING START TIME: 9:02 AM

Defendant.

HEARING END TIME: 3:34 P.M.

State Represented by Terisha Driggs, Deputy County Attorney Defendant present in person and by Rafael Malanga, Esquire Defendant in eustody: No

Prior to the commencement of proceedings, State's exhibits 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22 were returned to the clerk by Case Officer Detective Marcus Anderson, and State's exhibit 3A was marked for identification.

At 9:02 a.m. proceedings resumed for day three of trial before a jury with the presence of the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, Ms. Driggs and Case Officer Detective Anderson. The jury is present and seated in the box.

Witness Detective MARCUS ANDERSON, previously sworn and remaining under oath, was further direct examined, cross examined, redirect examined and excused from the stand. During the witness' testimony, State's exhibit 3A and Defendant's exhibits 11 and 12 were marked for identification and admitted into evidence, and Defendant's exhibit J was marked for identification.

Ms. Driggs advised that the State RESTED but reserved the right to call rebuttal witnesses.

At 9:22 a.m. the Court renewed the admonition and called a recess.

THE RECORD MAY SHOW the jury exited the courtroom and the door was closed. Remaining in the courtroom were the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, Ms. Driggs and Case Officer Detective Anderson.

Ms. Driggs filed in open court a Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence and presented argument in support of her motion.

Mr. Malanga made an oral Rule 20 Motion for Directed Verdict and presented argument in support of his motion.

Ms. Driggs presented argument in response.

Mr. Malanga presented argument in reply.

Date: 01/24/2019 Case No.: S0200CR201800079

Minute Entry - Jury Trial Day Three

Ms. Driggs further presented argument.

The Court does FIND that there is substantial evidence to allow the case to be presented to the jury as to both counts, therefore,

IT IS ORDERED denying Defendant's oral Rule 20 Motion for Directed Verdict.

Mr. Malanga presented argument in response to Ms. Driggs' Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence. The Court inquired of and heard from Mr. Malanga.

For the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED DENYING State's Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence.

Discussion was held clarifying the Court's ruling.

At 9:50 a.m. the Court called a brief recess for those remaining in the courtroom.

At 10:02 a.m. proceedings resumed with the presence of the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, Ms. Driggs and Case Officer Detective Anderson. The jury is present and seated in the box.

Mr. Malanga called as a witness JOSH SHUCK, who was duly sworn, direct examined, cross examined, redirect examined, further questioned by Ms. Driggs, and excused from further testimony.

Mr. Malanga called as a witness GEORGE MILLS, who was duly sworn, direct examined, cross examined, redirect examined and excused from further testimony.

Mr. Malanga called as a witness the Defendant JAMES EDWARD EARLEY, II, who was duly swom and direct examined.

At the request of Ms. Driggs, counsel approached the bench.

Witness Defendant JAMES EDWARD EARLEY, II was further direct examined.

At 11:35 a.m. the Court renewed the admonition and called a brief recess.

During the recess, a question was received from the jury which was marked for identification as Court's exhibit 1.

At 11:45 a.m. proceedings resumed with the presence of the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, Ms. Driggs and Case Officer Detective Anderson. The jury is present and seated in the box.

Date: 01/24/2019 Case No.: S0200CR201800079

Minute Entry - Jury Trial Day Three

At the request of Ms. Driggs, counsel approached the bench.

Witness Defendant JAMES EDWARD EARLEY, II was further direct examined. During the witness' testimony, Defendant's exhibits A1-A12 were admitted into evidence and published to the jury, and Court's exhibits 2, 3 and 4 were marked for identification.

At 11:54 a.m. the Court renewed the admonition and called the lunch recess with instructions to return at 1:30 p.m.

At 1:39 p.m. proceedings resumed with the presence of the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, Ms. Driggs and Case Officer Detective Anderson. The jury is present and seated in the box.

Witness Defendant JAMES EDWARD EARLEY II, resumed the stand and was cross examined.

At the request of Mr. Malanga, counsel approached the bench.

Witness Defendant JAMES EDWARD EARLEY II was further cross examined.

At the request of Ms. Driggs, counsel approached the bench.

Witness Defendant JAMES EDWARD EARLEY II was further cross examined and excused from the stand. During the witness' testimony, Court's exhibit 5 was marked for identification.

Mr. Malanga announced that the Defense RESTED.

Ms. Driggs recalled as a rebuttal witness Case Officer Detective MARCUS ANDERSON who was direct examined.

At 2:35 p.m. at the request of Mr. Malanga, the Court renewed the admonition and called a brief recess.

THE RECORD MAY SHOW the jury has exited the courtroom and the door was closed. Remaining in the courtroom were the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, Ms. Driggs and Case Officer Detective Anderson.

Mr. Malanga made an oral Motion for Mistrial and presented argument in support of his motion.

Ms. Driggs presented argument in response.

Mr. Malanga presented argument in reply.

For the reasons stated on the record,

IT IS ORDERED DENYING Defendant's Motion for Mistrial.

Discussion was held with regard to pending questioning.

Date: 01/24/2019 Case No.: S0200CR201800079 Minute Entry - Jury Trial Day Three At 2:47 the Court called a recess for those remaining in the courtroom. At 2:54 p.m. proceedings resumed with the presence of the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, Ms. Driggs and Case Officer Detective Anderson. The jury was present and seated in the box. Witness Case Officer Detective MARCUS ANDERSON was excused from the stand. The Court advised that two rebuttal witnesses remained and would be called to testify tomorrow morning. At 2:56 p.m., the Court renewed the admonition and excused the jury for the day with instructions to return tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. THE RECORD MAY SHOW the jury had exited the courtroom and the door was closed. Remaining in the courtroom were the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, Ms. Driggs and Case Officer Detective Anderson. Ms. Driggs requested time to review the jury instructions. At 2:57 p.m. the Court called a recess. ******** At 3:18 p.m. proceedings resumed with the presence of the Defendant, Mr. Malanga, and Ms. Driggs. The jury had been excused for the day. Discussion was held with regard to jury instructions. Mr. Malanga renewed his oral Rule 20 Motion for Directed Verdict. IT IS ORDERED denying Defendant's renewed oral Rule 20 Motion for Directed Verdict. At 3:34 p.m. proceedings concluded for the day. ******** Following the conclusion of proceedings, State's exhibits 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 were returned to Case Officer Andcrson and a receipt was executed. xe: e-mailed (e) by: mmg date: 1/25/19 R. Malanga, Esq (c)

Legal Defender

OCSO/Jail

CCSO/Warrants

Donna Harris, Deputy Clerk County Attorney (e) Court Administration